

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/785,252	02/23/2004	Shigeru Terashima	CFA00053US	8857
34904	7590 10/06/2005	EXAMINER		
01 = 10 = 1	S.A. INC. INTELLECT N PARKWAY	RUTLEDGE, DELLA J		
IRVINE, CA 92618-3731			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2851	

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				N'			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/785,252	TERASHIMA, SHIGERU				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		D. Rutledge	2851				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address -				
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Poperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communical D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9) 🗌 🤈	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) 🔲	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the E	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • •	` '				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	d in this National Stage				
* 0	application from the International Bureau						
- 5	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment	c(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) [] -t! 0	(DTO 442)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
3) 🔯 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date <u>07/05</u> .	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)				
	··· ——————————————————————————————————	<i>,</i> —					

Application/Control Number: 10/785,252

Art Unit: 2851

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Drawings

2. The drawings filed 23 February 2004 have been accepted for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Emoto (Us Pat. No. 6,226,073) in view of Lei et al.

The problem that the applicant is trying to solve, preventing outgassing from resin material in a vacuum environment is known and a number of embodiment have been used to try to solve the problem. A similar problem is problems with a gas or fluid themselves whether or not the material is in a vacuum environment. Lei et al. teaches that by controlling the temperature of a gas delivery system in a chemical vapor deposition system one can prevent side reactions or undesirable depositions. Emoto has a means to cool a stage arrangement for a lithographic apparatus. A peltier device is taught. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to control the temperature of the pipe supplying the coolant to the stage in order to prevent side reaction or

Art Unit: 2851

undesirable depositions as taught by Lei et al. Lei et al. provides thermo –controlled pipes by surrounding fluid carrying pipe with a temperature controlled pipe. One of ordinary skill in the art would know the range of temperatures to use to prevent unwanted side effects based on the type of fluid and at what temperature adverse effects will begin. One of ordinary skill in the art having the Emoto apparatus would be motivated to prevent unwanted deposits or other undesirable effects by controlling the temperature of the piping means and other material that can cause unwanted deposits or outgassing.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Bakker (US Pat. Application Pub. 2005/0134814) recognizes the problem the applicant is trying to solve, but uses a different solution.

Response Data

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. Rutledge whose telephone number is (571) 272-2127. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Thurs, 6:00 AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-2258. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

D. Rutledge Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2851

dr 10/3/05